y the lawyers in who submit the contained under ation."

190 p. 447

/lediation

icle/Tort

e injuries, sprain/strain,

ior Court, C.A. No.: 88-

\$6,000 in medical bills ed-Scttled \$100,000 at

Rainer, Rainer & Rainer,

ton v. Harold A. Lloyd, et

Mutual Insurance

se was successfully meof ADR Inc. On Aug. 10, olved in an intersection e defendant entered the op sign after allegedly nal property damage to he time of the accident, hin the scope and course esult of the collision the ie/sprain/strain injuries spine.

for by a chiropractor his disability. Medical of medical reports by the actor indicated that the ed from the date of the 2 1/2 years.

rs of chiropractic treathe plaintiff's treatment residual or permanent note that x-rays and performed with no ob-

ce company was given lependent medical ex-IME doctor indicated or disabilities existing ned approximately two

plaintiff received workfrom the date of the 2 1/2 years. The plainaccepted by the workers' plaintiff returned to ed by his treating phypensation case was not ' compensation carrier approximately \$25,000

cathica all new stransaction randition of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act; that his assignment of a patent to defendant entitled him to a just cause termination standard; and that when he was hired, defendant promised him continued employment until he reached normal retirement age.

Plaintiff sought both back pay and front pay totalling over \$1 million.

Defendant denied that plaintiffs age played any part in his termination; denied that the patent assignment altered plaintiff's status as an employeeat-will; and denied the existence of a long-term employment contract.

The court granted defendant's motion for a directed verdict on the age discrimination claim, the wrongful termination claim, and the contract claim. The case went to the jury solely on a deceit claim, and the jury returned a verdict for the defendant.

<u>Probate</u>

Petition To Compromise -Tort Claim

Type of Action-Petition to Compromise Tort Claim

Type of Injuries—Wrongful death/brain damage

Court Case No .- Worcester Probate Court No. 85 P2779-A1 (New Haven Superior Court No. 86-0251203)

Judge or Jury-Judge

Damages Awarded or Settled—Settlement

Amount—A structured settlement with guaranteed payout of \$2,745,620 including cash of \$540,709.63 and a present value of \$1,000,000 (policy limits)

Attorney for Plaintiffs-Edward C. Bassett Jr.; Mirick, O'Connell, DeMallie & Lougee, Worcester. Stephen I. Traub; Lynch, Traub, Keefe & Errante, New Haven.

Name of Case-Ana Maria Diaz, Individually and as Administratrix of the Estate of Eliseo Diaz and as Guardian of Luigi Diaz and Eliseo Diaz, Jr., and Christina Diaz, Individually and as Guardian of Jacinto Diaz v. A.T. Stratford Company

Other Useful Info .- On July 6, 1985, the plaintiff/ decedent, Eliseo Diaz and the plaintiff, Jacinto Diaz, were passengers in a car which rear-ended the defendants' flatbed truck on Route I-91 in Middletown, Conn.

The plaintiffs alleged that the defendant driver was driving below the minimum speed limit without adequate rear lights. The defendants argued that the driver of the plaintiffs' car was intoxicated and that he was the sole proximate cause of the accident. The driver of the plaintiffs' car reportedly had a blood alcohol level of .201.

The plaintiff/decedent worked as a machine operator earning approximately \$10,000 annually. The surviving plaintiff sustained permanent brain damage and he was earning approximately \$4,500 annually.

<u> I ort</u> Liquor Liability

Type of Action—Tort/Liquor Liability

Type of Injuries-Multiple rib fractures (3rd, 4th, 5th and 7th); burst fracture of 12th thoracic vertebrae; fracture of the 8th and 6th thoracic vertebrae; large scalp laceration requiring 47 sutures; renal contusion; concussion; bilateral hemopneumothorax; numbness and tingling in lower extremities

Subsequent to the automobile accident, plaintiff was hospitalized for approximately one month. She underwent a fusion using double Harrington distraction rods measuring 30 cm from the fifth thoracic vertebrae to the third lumbar vertebrae. Three years post surgery the plaintiff required additional surgery for the removal of the Harrington rods.

Plaintiff, although ambulatory, experiences persistent mid to low back discomfort with numbness and tingling in the lower extremities. Plaintiff is permanently totally disabled and is continuing treatment. Plaintiff also collected \$27,000 in liability coverage (including med pay and PIP) from the

operator's insurer.

<u>Nealiaence</u> **Medical Malpractice**

Type of Action—Negligence/medical malpractice

Type of Injuries-Plaintiff suffered a stroke, leaving him paralyzed on his right side. He also suffers from memory lapse, vision impairment, slurred speech, impotence, and listlessness.

Court Case No.—Hampshire County Superior Court C.A. No. 87-037

Judge or Jury—Jury

Name of Judge-Judge John F. Murphy, Jr.

Special Damages-One week's hospitalization

Damages Awarded or Settled-Defendant's verdict following trial by jury

Amount—Not applicable

Att. for Pltf.—Withheld by agreement

Att. for Deft .- Dennis R. Anti and Kathleen E. Sheehan; Keyes and Donnellan, Springfield

Name of Case—Name withheld

Insurance Carrier-The Medical Malpractice Joint Underwriting Association Of Massachusetts

Highest Offer-\$100,000

Other Useful Info .- Prantin was arst seen by Defendant doctor, an intermist, on Dec. 13, 1985, for a general physical. He was 58 years old at the time of

ATTORNEY JERRC

KATZ & ASS

MEDICAL MALPRACT

KATZ & ASSOCIATES

tí[.] th ti 16 H

рa cla inj Νŧ

fai stı int pa th

DeOΓ sta me ha[.] an the E

Cou dut the hou tha cau